Lattice-based linear solver and number field computations

Paul Kirchner

April 9, 2025

Original problem

Given an invertible sparse **A** of dimension *n* over the Euclidean ring \mathcal{R} , solve $\mathbf{A}x = y$ over the fraction field. The coordinates of *x* are fractions with denominator det **A**.

Original problem

Given an invertible sparse **A** of dimension *n* over the Euclidean ring \mathcal{R} , solve $\mathbf{A}x = y$ over the fraction field. The coordinates of *x* are fractions with denominator det **A**.

New problem

Given an invertible sparse **A** over the Euclidean ring \mathcal{R} , solve over \mathcal{R} the equation $\mathbf{A}x + \mathbf{B}y = z \in \mathcal{R}^n$. The random matrix **B** has $m \approx \sqrt{n}$ columns; coordinates of x, y have \sqrt{n} bits.

Original problem

Given an invertible sparse **A** of dimension *n* over the Euclidean ring \mathcal{R} , solve $\mathbf{A}x = y$ over the fraction field. The coordinates of *x* are fractions with denominator det **A**.

New problem

Given an invertible sparse **A** over the Euclidean ring \mathcal{R} , solve over \mathcal{R} the equation $\mathbf{A}x + \mathbf{B}y = z \in \mathcal{R}^n$. The random matrix **B** has $m \approx \sqrt{n}$ columns; coordinates of x, y have \sqrt{n} bits.

Objective

Find the class group of $\mathbb{Q}[\sqrt{\Delta}]$ in time:

$$\exp\left((1+o(1))\sqrt{\ln(|\Delta|)\ln\ln|\Delta|}
ight)$$

The overall method is to solve $\mathbf{A}_{\mathbf{X}} = \mathbf{y}$ with high precision and then recover the exact solution.

• Ursic-Patarra '76: solve $\mathbf{x} = \mathbf{A}^{-1} \mathbf{y}$ over reals, use continued fractions on each coordinate

$$\begin{pmatrix} 3 & 14 \\ 15 & 92 \end{pmatrix}^{-1} \cdot \begin{pmatrix} 65 \\ 35 \end{pmatrix} \approx \begin{pmatrix} 83.1818 \\ -13.1818 \end{pmatrix} \implies x = \begin{pmatrix} 83+2/11 \\ -13-2/11 \end{pmatrix}$$

The overall method is to solve Ax = y with high precision and then recover the exact solution.

• Ursic-Patarra '76: solve $\mathbf{x} = \mathbf{A}^{-1} \mathbf{y}$ over reals, use continued fractions on each coordinate

$$\begin{pmatrix} 3 & 14 \\ 15 & 92 \end{pmatrix}^{-1} \cdot \begin{pmatrix} 65 \\ 35 \end{pmatrix} \approx \begin{pmatrix} 83.1818 \\ -13.1818 \end{pmatrix} \implies x = \begin{pmatrix} 83+2/11 \\ -13-2/11 \end{pmatrix}$$

 Moenck-Carter '79: over X-adics/p-adics, solve A⁻¹y mod p^k one p-adic digit after another

The overall method is to solve Ax = y with high precision and then recover the exact solution.

• Ursic-Patarra '76: solve $\mathbf{x} = \mathbf{A}^{-1}\mathbf{y}$ over reals, use continued fractions on each coordinate

$$\begin{pmatrix} 3 & 14 \\ 15 & 92 \end{pmatrix}^{-1} \cdot \begin{pmatrix} 65 \\ 35 \end{pmatrix} \approx \begin{pmatrix} 83.1818 \\ -13.1818 \end{pmatrix} \implies x = \begin{pmatrix} 83+2/11 \\ -13-2/11 \end{pmatrix}$$

- Moenck-Carter '79: over X-adics/p-adics, solve A⁻¹y mod p^k one p-adic digit after another
- Dixon '82: same over *p*-adics but with correct complexity: $O(n^3)$

$$\mathbf{A}^{-1} = \begin{pmatrix} 3 & 14 \\ 15 & 92 \end{pmatrix}^{-1} = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & -1 \\ -2 & 3 \end{pmatrix} \mod 13$$
$$\begin{pmatrix} 3 & 14 \\ 15 & 92 \end{pmatrix}^{-1} \begin{pmatrix} 65 \\ 35 \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} 4+12 \cdot 13+9 \cdot 13^2+4 \cdot 13^3 \\ 1+6 \cdot 13+3 \cdot 13^2+8 \cdot 13^3 \end{pmatrix} \mod 13^4$$

The overall method is to solve Ax = y with high precision and then recover the exact solution.

• Ursic-Patarra '76: solve $\mathbf{x} = \mathbf{A}^{-1} \mathbf{y}$ over reals, use continued fractions on each coordinate

$$\begin{pmatrix} 3 & 14 \\ 15 & 92 \end{pmatrix}^{-1} \cdot \begin{pmatrix} 65 \\ 35 \end{pmatrix} \approx \begin{pmatrix} 83.1818 \\ -13.1818 \end{pmatrix} \implies x = \begin{pmatrix} 83+2/11 \\ -13-2/11 \end{pmatrix}$$

- Moenck-Carter '79: over X-adics/p-adics, solve A⁻¹y mod p^k one p-adic digit after another
- Dixon '82: same over *p*-adics but with correct complexity: $O(n^3)$

$$\mathbf{A}^{-1} = \begin{pmatrix} 3 & 14 \\ 15 & 92 \end{pmatrix}^{-1} = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & -1 \\ -2 & 3 \end{pmatrix} \mod 13$$

$$\begin{array}{c} 3 & 14 \\ 15 & 92 \end{pmatrix}^{-1} \begin{pmatrix} 65 \\ 35 \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} 4 + 12 \cdot 13 + 9 \cdot 13^2 + 4 \cdot 13^3 \\ 1 + 6 \cdot 13 + 3 \cdot 13^2 + 8 \cdot 13^3 \end{pmatrix} \mod 13^4$$

• Storjohann '00: solve *n* systems in parallel, $\tilde{O}(n^{\omega})$

1. Approximate solver

2. Lattice-based linear solver

3. Global field computation

Old iterative algorithms

Sparse matrix

We consider **A** sparse so that computing \mathbf{A}_X has a complexity of $\tilde{O}(n)$.

Old iterative algorithms

Sparse matrix

We consider **A** sparse so that computing $\mathbf{A}x$ has a complexity of $\tilde{O}(n)$.

Iterative refinement (Hensel, Wilkinson, ...)

If we can find x' such that $Ax' \approx y$, the solution is $x = x' + A^{-1}(y - Ax')$.

$$\begin{pmatrix} 3 & 14 \\ 15 & 92 \end{pmatrix} \cdot \begin{pmatrix} 83 \\ -13 \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} 65 \\ 35 \end{pmatrix} + \begin{pmatrix} 2 \\ 14 \end{pmatrix}$$
$$\begin{pmatrix} 3 & 14 \\ 15 & 92 \end{pmatrix} \cdot \begin{pmatrix} 18 \\ -18 \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} -200 \\ -1400 \end{pmatrix} + \begin{pmatrix} 2 \\ 14 \end{pmatrix}$$

Old iterative algorithms

Sparse matrix

We consider **A** sparse so that computing $\mathbf{A}x$ has a complexity of $\tilde{O}(n)$.

Iterative refinement (Hensel, Wilkinson, ...)

If we can find x' such that $\mathbf{A}x' \approx y$, the solution is $\mathbf{x} = \mathbf{x}' + \mathbf{A}^{-1}(y - \mathbf{A}x')$.

$$\begin{pmatrix} 3 & 14\\ 15 & 92 \end{pmatrix} \cdot \begin{pmatrix} 83\\ -13 \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} 65\\ 35 \end{pmatrix} + \begin{pmatrix} 2\\ 14 \end{pmatrix}$$
$$\begin{pmatrix} 3 & 14\\ 15 & 92 \end{pmatrix} \cdot \begin{pmatrix} 18\\ -18 \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} -200\\ -1400 \end{pmatrix} + \begin{pmatrix} 2\\ 14 \end{pmatrix}$$

Jacobi's solver

If $\mathbf{A} \approx \mathbf{D}$, select $\mathbf{x}' = \mathbf{D}^{-1} \mathbf{y}$. If $\forall i, |\mathbf{A}_{i,i}| \ge K \sum_{j \neq i} |\mathbf{A}_{i,j}|$, the error is divided by K.

Conjugate gradient, Lanczos 50s

For $\mathbf{G} > 0$ (positive symmetric definite), let $\kappa = \frac{\lambda_{\max}}{\lambda_{\min}}$; we fix $\lambda_{\min} = 1$. Accelerated gradient descent/Conjugate gradient: $\sqrt{\kappa} \log(\epsilon^{-1})$ matrix-vector products.

Proof: appendix.

Norm equation

If we have $\mathbf{A}^t \mathbf{A} \mathbf{x} = \mathbf{A}^t y$ then $\mathbf{A} \mathbf{x} = y$. Split the matrix \mathbf{A} vertically into two matrices $(\mathbf{E} \ \mathbf{F})$ with \mathbf{F} having $K \ll n$ columns.

Norm equation

If we have $\mathbf{A}^t \mathbf{A} \mathbf{x} = \mathbf{A}^t y$ then $\mathbf{A} \mathbf{x} = y$. Split the matrix \mathbf{A} vertically into two matrices $(\mathbf{E} \ \mathbf{F})$ with \mathbf{F} having $K \ll n$ columns.

LDL decomposition of $\mathbf{A}^{t}\mathbf{A}$

With $\mathbf{D}_0 = \mathbf{E}^t \mathbf{E}$, the Schur complement $\mathbf{D}_1 = \mathbf{F}^t \mathbf{F} - (\mathbf{F}^t \mathbf{E}) \mathbf{D}_0^{-1} (\mathbf{F}^t \mathbf{E})^t$ and $\mathbf{L} = \mathbf{F}^t \mathbf{E} \mathbf{D}_0^{-1}$ of dimension $K \times (n - K)$, the block LDL decomposition of $\mathbf{A}^t \mathbf{A}$ is

$$\mathbf{A}^{t}\mathbf{A} = \begin{pmatrix} \mathbf{Id}_{n-K} & 0 \\ \mathbf{L} & \mathbf{Id}_{K} \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} \mathbf{D}_{0} & 0 \\ 0 & \mathbf{D}_{1} \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} \mathbf{Id}_{n-K} & \mathbf{L}^{t} \\ 0 & \mathbf{Id}_{K} \end{pmatrix}$$

with \mathbf{D}_1 a $K \times K$ matrix.

With
$$\mathbf{L} = \mathbf{F}^t \mathbf{E} \mathbf{D}_0^{-1}$$
:

Inverse

$$(\mathbf{A}^{t}\mathbf{A})^{-1} = \begin{pmatrix} \mathbf{Id}_{n-K} & -\mathbf{L}^{t} \\ 0 & \mathbf{Id}_{K} \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} \mathbf{D}_{0}^{-1} & 0 \\ 0 & \mathbf{D}_{1}^{-1} \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} \mathbf{Id}_{n-K} & 0 \\ -\mathbf{L} & \mathbf{Id}_{K} \end{pmatrix}.$$

Two multiplications by \mathbf{D}_0^{-1} , one by \mathbf{D}_1^{-1} , plus negligible (**E**, **F** sparse).

Our fast random matrix solver

Conditioning

We solve by $\mathbf{D}_0 = \mathbf{E}^t \mathbf{E}$ using the conjugate gradient. It is believed that $\kappa \approx \frac{n^2}{K^2}$, since \mathbf{E} is sparse, the complexity is $\tilde{O}(\sqrt{\kappa} \cdot n) = \tilde{O}(n^2/K)$.

Conditioning

We solve by $\mathbf{D}_0 = \mathbf{E}^t \mathbf{E}$ using the conjugate gradient. It is believed that $\kappa \approx \frac{n^2}{K^2}$, since \mathbf{E} is sparse, the complexity is $\tilde{O}(\sqrt{\kappa} \cdot n) = \tilde{O}(n^2/\kappa)$.

Precomputation step of our algorithm

Precompute the dense $K \times K$ matrix $\mathbf{D}_1 = \mathbf{F}^t \mathbf{F} - (\mathbf{F}^t \mathbf{E}) \mathbf{D}_0^{-1} (\mathbf{F}^t \mathbf{E})^t$ with K calls to \mathbf{D}_0^{-1} for a cost of $\tilde{O}(K \cdot n^2/K) = \tilde{O}(n^2)$. Precompute the inverse \mathbf{D}_1^{-1} , with complexity $\tilde{O}(K^{\omega})$.

Conditioning

We solve by $\mathbf{D}_0 = \mathbf{E}^t \mathbf{E}$ using the conjugate gradient. It is believed that $\kappa \approx \frac{n^2}{K^2}$, since \mathbf{E} is sparse, the complexity is $\tilde{O}(\sqrt{\kappa} \cdot n) = \tilde{O}(n^2/\kappa)$.

Precomputation step of our algorithm

Precompute the dense $K \times K$ matrix $\mathbf{D}_1 = \mathbf{F}^t \mathbf{F} - (\mathbf{F}^t \mathbf{E}) \mathbf{D}_0^{-1} (\mathbf{F}^t \mathbf{E})^t$ with K calls to \mathbf{D}_0^{-1} for a cost of $\tilde{O}(K \cdot n^2/K) = \tilde{O}(n^2)$. Precompute the inverse \mathbf{D}_1^{-1} , with complexity $\tilde{O}(K^{\omega})$.

\mathbf{D}_1^{-1}

Use standard multiplication for \mathbf{D}_1^{-1} , with complexity $O(\mathcal{K}^2)$. It is faster for numerous vectors at the same time, $\mathbf{AX} = \mathbf{Y}$.

Our results

Diagonally-dominant case

If **A** is a diagonally-dominant matrix, we have $\tilde{O}(n)$ per system and bit.

Diagonally-dominant case

If **A** is a diagonally-dominant matrix, we have $\tilde{O}(n)$ per system and bit.

$\omega = 3$

Take $K = n^{2/3}$ and after a precomputation of complexity $\tilde{O}(n^2)$ we have a complexity in $\tilde{O}(n^2/K + K^2) = \tilde{O}(n^{4/3})$ per system and bit.

Diagonally-dominant case

If **A** is a diagonally-dominant matrix, we have $\tilde{O}(n)$ per system and bit.

$\omega = 3$

Take $K = n^{2/3}$ and after a precomputation of complexity $\tilde{O}(n^2)$ we have a complexity in $\tilde{O}(n^2/K + K^2) = \tilde{O}(n^{4/3})$ per system and bit.

Full inverse

We want to solve *n* linear systems with \sqrt{n} bits. Use Storjohann's high-order lifting: we solve $n^{1.3}$ systems with precision $n^{0.2}$ bits. Take $K = n^{0.87}$, use fast rectangular matrix multiplication, and we obtain $n^{1.13}$ per system and bit. 1. Approximate solver

2. Lattice-based linear solver

3. Global field computation

Problem

Given an invertible **A** over the Euclidean ring \mathcal{R} , solve $\mathbf{A}x + \mathbf{B}y = z \in \mathcal{R}^n$, namely $x + \mathbf{A}^{-1}\mathbf{B}y = \mathbf{A}^{-1}z$. Since $x \in \mathcal{R}^n$ and $y \in \mathcal{R}^m$, this is a lattice problem of dimension n + m. Precomputations use z = 0.

Problem

Given an invertible **A** over the Euclidean ring \mathcal{R} , solve $\mathbf{A}x + \mathbf{B}y = z \in \mathcal{R}^n$, namely $x + \mathbf{A}^{-1}\mathbf{B}y = \mathbf{A}^{-1}z$. Since $x \in \mathcal{R}^n$ and $y \in \mathcal{R}^m$, this is a lattice problem of dimension n + m. Precomputations use z = 0.

Projection

We sample a matrix \mathbf{P}^t in \mathcal{R} , and solve instead $\mathbf{P}^t \mathbf{A} x + \mathbf{P}^t \mathbf{B} y = \mathbf{P}^t z$, or $x' + \mathbf{P}^t \mathbf{A}^{-1} \mathbf{B} y = \mathbf{P}^t \mathbf{A}^{-1} z$. With *m* columns, we now have a lattice of dimension $2m \approx 2\sqrt{n}$.

Our algorithm, precomputation of NTRU lattice

We compute $\tilde{\mathbf{C}} \approx \mathbf{C} = \mathbf{P}^t \mathbf{A}^{-1} \mathbf{B}$. Then with $\epsilon = \|\tilde{\mathbf{C}} - \mathbf{C}\|$ we reduce the lattice

$$egin{pmatrix} {f ld}_m & ilde{f C} \ 0 & \epsilon {f ld}_m \end{pmatrix} {\cal R}^{2m}.$$

Precomputation

Our algorithm, precomputation of NTRU lattice

We compute $\tilde{\mathbf{C}} \approx \mathbf{C} = \mathbf{P}^t \mathbf{A}^{-1} \mathbf{B}$. Then with $\epsilon = \|\tilde{\mathbf{C}} - \mathbf{C}\|$ we reduce the lattice

$$egin{pmatrix} \mathbf{Id}_m & \mathbf{ ilde C} \ 0 & \epsilon \mathbf{Id}_m \end{pmatrix} \mathcal{R}^{2m} \, .$$

Property

Suppose the columns of **B** generate $\mathcal{R}^n/\mathbf{A}\mathcal{R}^n$. Then the lattice of $x + \mathbf{A}^{-1}\mathbf{B}y = 0$ has volume vol(**A**) = $|\det \mathbf{A}|$.

We expect to find the sublattice of all y in the NTRU lattice:

$$\begin{pmatrix} (\tilde{\mathbf{C}} - \mathbf{C})y \\ \epsilon y \end{pmatrix}$$

Proof next slide.

Proof of precomputation success

Assumptions

Linear combinations **B***y* cover $\mathcal{R}^n/\mathbf{A}\mathcal{R}^n$ with $||y|| \leq M$; same for **P** and \mathbf{A}^t .

Proof of precomputation success

Assumptions

Linear combinations **B***y* cover $\mathcal{R}^n/\mathbf{A}\mathcal{R}^n$ with $||y|| \leq M$; same for **P** and \mathbf{A}^t .

Proof of assumption by the Gaussian technique, from Hildebrand

Only if the rank of $\mathcal{R}^n/\mathbf{A}\mathcal{R}^n$ is less than m-2. Sample y according to a discrete Gaussian with standard deviation $\approx \operatorname{vol}(\mathbf{A})^{1/m} \leq \|\mathbf{A}\|^{n/m}$. Then if **B** mod **A** is sampled uniformly, **B**y is uniform modulo **A**.

Proof of precomputation success

Assumptions

Linear combinations **B***y* cover $\mathcal{R}^n/\mathbf{A}\mathcal{R}^n$ with $||y|| \leq M$; same for **P** and \mathbf{A}^t .

Proof of assumption by the Gaussian technique, from Hildebrand

Only if the rank of $\mathcal{R}^n/\mathbf{A}\mathcal{R}^n$ is less than m-2. Sample y according to a discrete Gaussian with standard deviation $\approx \operatorname{vol}(\mathbf{A})^{1/m} \leq \|\mathbf{A}\|^{n/m}$. Then if **B** mod **A** is sampled uniformly, **B**y is uniform modulo **A**.

Lattice property

Let $||\epsilon y||, ||x' + \mathbf{C}y|| \le 1/(3nM||\mathbf{A}||)$. Consider $\mathbf{P}z = e_i + \mathbf{A}^t w, ||z|| \le M$. Then $(\mathbf{A}^{-1}\mathbf{B}y)_i = e_i^t \mathbf{A}^{-1}\mathbf{B}y = (z^t \mathbf{P}^t - w^t \mathbf{A})\mathbf{A}^{-1}\mathbf{B}y$ which is

 $z^t \mathbf{C} y - w^t \mathbf{B} y = z^t (x' + \mathbf{C} y) \mod 1$

but $\mathbf{B}y = \mathbf{A}(\mathbf{A}^{-1}\mathbf{B}y) \in \mathcal{R}^n$ so $\mathbf{A}^{-1}\mathbf{B}y \in \mathcal{R}^n$.

Our fast solver

Precomputation complexity

We have *m* systems to be solved with precision $\|\mathbf{A}\|^{-n/m}$. If **A** is diagonally-dominant this takes $\tilde{O}(n^2)$.

Reducing a dimension 2m lattice with precision n/m takes time $\tilde{O}(m^{\omega} \cdot n/m)$.

Our fast solver

Precomputation complexity

We have *m* systems to be solved with precision $\|\mathbf{A}\|^{-n/m}$. If **A** is diagonally-dominant this takes $\tilde{O}(n^2)$.

Reducing a dimension 2m lattice with precision n/m takes time $\tilde{O}(m^{\omega} \cdot n/m)$.

Extracting a solution

Suppose there is a solution $\mathbf{A}x + \mathbf{B}y = z$. This leads to a solution of the form $x' + \mathbf{C}y = \mathbf{P}^t \mathbf{A}^{-1}z$, given by a lattice point close to

$$\begin{pmatrix} \mathbf{P}^{t}\mathbf{A}^{-1}z\\ 0 \end{pmatrix} \approx \begin{pmatrix} x' + \tilde{\mathbf{C}}y\\ \epsilon y \end{pmatrix}$$

Then $x = \mathbf{A}^{-1}(z - \mathbf{B}y)$. We need to solve a system with precision \sqrt{n} .

Invariant factors

If **B** generates $\mathcal{R}^n/\mathbf{A}\mathcal{R}^n$, we obtain the invariant factors from lattice reduction, in particular $|\det \mathbf{A}|$.

Invariant factors

If **B** generates $\mathcal{R}^n/\mathbf{A}\mathcal{R}^n$, we obtain the invariant factors from lattice reduction, in particular $|\det \mathbf{A}|$.

Block Wiedemann (Coppersmith, Villard, Kaltofen)

Take $\mathcal{R} = \mathbb{K}[X]$, for example $\mathbb{K} = \mathbb{F}_q$. For solving $-\mathbf{E}x = y$, choose $\mathbf{A} = X\mathbf{Id}_n - \mathbf{E}$ and reduce modulo X the solution. **A** is diagonally-dominant and $\mathbf{A}^{-1} = \sum_{i=0}^{\infty} X^{-i-1}\mathbf{E}^i$. We obtain Eberly *et al.*'s 2007 solver with complexity $\tilde{O}(n^{1.5})$.

Invariant factors

If **B** generates $\mathcal{R}^n/\mathbf{A}\mathcal{R}^n$, we obtain the invariant factors from lattice reduction, in particular $|\det \mathbf{A}|$.

Block Wiedemann (Coppersmith, Villard, Kaltofen)

Take $\mathcal{R} = \mathbb{K}[X]$, for example $\mathbb{K} = \mathbb{F}_q$. For solving $-\mathbf{E}x = y$, choose $\mathbf{A} = X\mathbf{Id}_n - \mathbf{E}$ and reduce modulo X the solution. **A** is diagonally-dominant and $\mathbf{A}^{-1} = \sum_{i=0}^{\infty} X^{-i-1}\mathbf{E}^i$. We obtain Eberly *et al.*'s 2007 solver with complexity $\tilde{O}(n^{1.5})$.

Peng-Vempala, Nie

With $\mathbb{K} = \mathbb{Q}$, $\mathcal{R} = \mathbb{Q}[X]$, **B**, **P** sampled according to Gaussians, polynomial lattice reduction is well-conditioned. We can compute det **E** within 1.1 in time O ($n^{2.34}$).

1. Approximate solver

2. Lattice-based linear solver

3. Global field computation

Problems

Consider $\mathbb{K} = \mathbb{Q}[x]/f(x)$ a number field, with $\mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{K}}$ its maximal order of integers.

- Find ideals g₁,..., g_k generating the class group and their order o_k in the class group with o₁ | · · · | o_k such that the class group is isomorphic to ∏^k_{i=1}⟨g_i⟩
- Given a basis of an ideal \mathfrak{a} , find a decomposition of it, which is the class group exponents a_1, \ldots, a_k and a generator $g \in \mathbb{K}$ where $\mathfrak{a} = (g) \prod_{i=1}^k \mathfrak{g}_i^{a_i}$
- Find the $r_{\mathbb{R}} + r_{\mathbb{C}} 1$ generators u_i of \mathcal{O}^{\times} , the unit group

Problems

Consider $\mathbb{K} = \mathbb{Q}[x]/f(x)$ a number field, with $\mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{K}}$ its maximal order of integers.

- Find ideals g₁,..., g_k generating the class group and their order o_k in the class group with o₁ | ··· | o_k such that the class group is isomorphic to ∏^k_{i=1}⟨g_i⟩
- Given a basis of an ideal \mathfrak{a} , find a decomposition of it, which is the class group exponents a_1, \ldots, a_k and a generator $g \in \mathbb{K}$ where $\mathfrak{a} = (g) \prod_{i=1}^k \mathfrak{g}_i^{a_i}$
- Find the $r_{\mathbb{R}} + r_{\mathbb{C}} 1$ generators u_i of \mathcal{O}^{\times} , the unit group

We consider a field with small degree.

Cryptanalysis

Decomposition, or finding the group order cryptanalyze various systems (RSA without trusted setup).

Classical algorithm (Minkowski)

We are given a (a basis), compute $v \in \mathfrak{a}^{-1}$. Then $v\mathfrak{a} \subset \mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{K}}$ and of index (norm) $\approx \sqrt{|\Delta_{\mathbb{K}}|}$.

Classical algorithm (Minkowski)

We are given a (a basis), compute
$$v \in \mathfrak{a}^{-1}$$
. Then $v\mathfrak{a} \subset \mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{K}}$ and of index (norm) $\approx \sqrt{|\Delta_{\mathbb{K}}|}$.

Smoothness

Call $\mathfrak{p}_i \forall i \leq B$ the ideals of small norm the *factor basis*, the cardinal is

$$B = \exp\left((rac{1}{2} + o(1))\sqrt{\ln|\Delta_{\mathbb{K}}|\ln\ln|\Delta_{\mathbb{K}}|}
ight).$$

A random ideal of norm $\sqrt{|\Delta_{\mathbb{K}}|}$ has probability 1/B of factoring over the base.

Generalized Riemann Hypothesis

Prime ideals \mathfrak{p} with norm below $\approx \log^2(|\Delta_{\mathbb{K}}|)$ generate the class group.

Generalized Riemann Hypothesis

Prime ideals \mathfrak{p} with norm below $\approx \log^2(|\Delta_{\mathbb{K}}|)$ generate the class group.

Descent

Given a, we want $va = \prod_i \mathfrak{p}_i^{e_i}$. We sample $e'_i \in \mathbb{Z}$, reduce $a \prod_i \mathfrak{p}_i^{e'_i}$ and detect the smoothness of the norm.

Linear algebra

A relation is of the form $v\mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{K}} = \prod_{i} \mathfrak{p}_{i}^{e_{i}}$. Put the exponents in the columns of **A** and **B**, remove the first log² prime ideals. Any $\mathbf{A}x + \mathbf{B}y = 0$ leads to a relation on the generators. A Smith Normal Form algorithm computes the structure of an abelian group from relations.

Linear algebra

A relation is of the form $v\mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{K}} = \prod_{i} \mathfrak{p}_{i}^{e_{i}}$. Put the exponents in the columns of **A** and **B**, remove the first log² prime ideals. Any $\mathbf{A}x + \mathbf{B}y = 0$ leads to a relation on the generators. A Smith Normal Form algorithm computes the structure of an abelian group from relations.

Generator (PIP)

Assume a descent on a leads to $va = \prod_i \mathfrak{p}_i^{z_i}$. Then we solve $\mathbf{A}x + \mathbf{B}y = z$.

Descent

A descent on \mathfrak{p}_i^D guarantees the generation of a diagonally-dominant matrix of relations **A**.

Descent

A descent on \mathfrak{p}_i^D guarantees the generation of a diagonally-dominant matrix of relations **A**.

Special fields

Special fields have for example ||f|| small so that ax + b are much more likely to be smooth. **A** is then not diagonally-dominant.

• New preconditioning algorithm for random sparse matrices

- New preconditioning algorithm for random sparse matrices
- The Block Wiedemann algorithm was generalized to integers

- New preconditioning algorithm for random sparse matrices
- The Block Wiedemann algorithm was generalized to integers
- A big and stretched NTRU problem $\mathbf{F}^{-1}\mathbf{G}$ can be projected to

 $\mathbf{P}^t \mathbf{F}^{-1} \mathbf{G}$

- New preconditioning algorithm for random sparse matrices
- The Block Wiedemann algorithm was generalized to integers
- A big and stretched NTRU problem $\mathbf{F}^{-1}\mathbf{G}$ can be projected to

$\mathbf{P}^t \mathbf{F}^{-1} \mathbf{G}$

• Computing a class group now has the asymptotic complexity which corresponds to relation finding

- New preconditioning algorithm for random sparse matrices
- The Block Wiedemann algorithm was generalized to integers
- A big and stretched NTRU problem $\mathbf{F}^{-1}\mathbf{G}$ can be projected to

$\mathbf{P}^t \mathbf{F}^{-1} \mathbf{G}$

- Computing a class group now has the asymptotic complexity which corresponds to relation finding
- Slightly improved discrete logarithm for hyperelliptic curves in genus $g \ge 3$

Proof.

We take $x' = P(\mathbf{G})y$ for $P \in \mathbb{R}[X]$ of degree d + 1, the error is $\|y - \mathbf{G}(P(\mathbf{G})y)\| = \|(1 - XP)(\mathbf{G})(y)\|$. Using the spectral theorem, the relative error is $\leq \sum_{\lambda} |(1 - XP)(\lambda)|$. For T a Chebyshev polynomial, i.e. $T = \frac{1}{2}(X - \sqrt{X^2 - 1})^d + \frac{1}{2}(X - \sqrt{X^2 - 1})^{-d}$, we choose $1 - XP = \frac{T(\frac{\kappa + 1 - 2X}{\kappa - 1})}{T(\frac{\kappa + 1}{\kappa - 1})}$ so that the error made is $\leq T(\frac{\kappa + 1}{\kappa - 1})^{-1} \approx (1 - 1/\sqrt{\kappa})^d$.