

Search for the “best” arctan relation

Jörg Arndt <arndt@jjj.de>

Talk given 23-May-2008 in Nancy, France

What we are looking for

We search relations of the form

$$M_1 \arctan(1/X_1) + M_2 \arctan(1/X_2) + \dots + M_n \arctan(1/X_n) = k \pi/4$$

where $M_j \in \mathbb{Z}$, $X_j \in \mathbb{N}$, and $k \in \mathbb{Z}$.

An example (a five-term relation):

$$\begin{aligned} \pi/4 = & +88 \arctan(1/192) + 39 \arctan(1/239) + 100 \arctan(1/515) - \\ & -32 \arctan(1/1068) - 56 \arctan(1/173932) \end{aligned}$$

Shorthand notation:

$$+88[192] +39[239] +100[515] -32[1068] -56[173932] == 1*\text{Pi}/4$$

Sort 6-term relations (all $==1*\text{Pi}/4$) according to arguments:

$$\begin{array}{llllll} +322[577] & +76[682] & +139[1393] & +156[12943] & +132[32807] & +44[1049433] \\ +122[319] & +61[378] & +115[557] & +29[1068] & +22[3458] & +44[27493] \\ +100[319] & +127[378] & +71[557] & -15[1068] & +66[2943] & +44[478707] \\ +337[307] & -193[463] & +151[4193] & +305[4246] & -122[39307] & -83[390112] \end{array}$$

A relation is considered “better” than another if it precedes it. The “best” one is the first in our list of n -term relations.

Finding one relation

A 5-term relation:

$$+88[192] +39[239] +100[515] -32[1068] -56[173932] == 1 * \text{Pi}/4$$

For all (inverse) arguments X_j , factor $X_j^2 + 1$:

$$192^2+1 == 36865 == 5 \ 73 \ 101$$

$$239^2+1 == 57122 == 2 \ 13 \ 13 \ 13 \ 13$$

$$515^2+1 == 265226 == 2 \ 13 \ 101 \ 101$$

$$1068^2+1 == 1140625 == 5 \ 5 \ 5 \ 5 \ 5 \ 5 \ 73$$

$$173932^2+1 == 30252340625 == 5 \ 5 \ 5 \ 5 \ 5 \ 13 \ 73 \ 101 \ 101$$

All odd prime factors are $\{5, 13, 73, 101\}$.

Given a set of arguments, $\{X_1, \dots, X_n\}$ so that all odd prime factors $X_j^2 + 1$ are in a set $\{p_1, \dots, p_{n-1}\}$, how can we find the relation?

Finding one relation [cont.]

Set up a matrix whose entries are the signed exponents in the factorizations:

```
X_j \ p_k 5 13 73 101
173932 [-5, -1, 1, -2]
1068 [ 6, 0, 1, 0] (1068^2+1==5^6*73)
515 [ 0, 1, 0, -2]
239 [ 0, -4, 0, 0] (239^2+1==2*13^4)
192 [-1, 0, 1, 1]
```

Sign with argument X_j and prime p_k is minus if $X_j < p_k/2 \pmod{p_k}$, else plus. For example, $192 \equiv 2 \pmod{5}$, so the entry in the lower left has a minus.

To find the multipliers M_j , compute the nullspace of the matrix:

```
? K=matkerint(M) \\ pari/gp
[56] [32] [-100] [-39] [-88]
```

Thereby:

```
+88[192] +39[239] +100[515] -32[1068] -56[173932] == k * Pi/4
```

A Floating point computation of the lhs. gives the value of k . Here it is +1.

Finding one n -term relation

Select a set S of $n - 1$ odd primes $4k + 1$.

Find numbers X that factor into (2 and) S by testing whether power products $2^{e_0} p_1^{e_1} p_2^{e_2} \dots, p_{n-1}^{e_{n-1}}$ are of the form $X^2 + 1$.

Gauss used the set of the 8 smallest primes $\{5, 13, 17, 29, 37, 41, 53, 61\}$ and found the 9-term relation

$$\begin{aligned} &+2805[5257] -398[9466] +1950[12943] +1850[34208] +2021[44179] \\ &+2097[85353] +1484[114669] +1389[330182] +808[485298] == 1 * \text{Pi}/4 \end{aligned}$$

The “best” 9-term relation known today is

$$\begin{aligned} &+3286[34208] +9852[39307] +5280[41688] +7794[44179] +7608[60443] \\ &+4357[275807] -1484[390112] -1882[619858] +776[976283] == 1 * \text{Pi}/4 \end{aligned}$$

$$S = \{5, 13, 17, 29, 41, 53, 97, 269\}$$

Finding all n -term relations

Strategy: Find all X so that the odd prime factors of $X^2 + 1$ are in the set $\{5, 13, \dots, 761\}$ (the first 64 odd primes of the form $4k + 1$). Let \mathbb{X} be the set of “candidate” values X .

For $n = 2, 3, 4, \dots$ find n -term relations as follows:

For all $(n - 1)$ -subsets S of the prime-set \mathbb{S} : Select the subset of \mathbb{X} so that that all $X^2 + 1$ factor into (2 and) S and compute the relation.

About “all”:

- Primes: 64 first primes for infinitely many.
- Candidates: $X \leq 10^{14}$ for $X \leq$ some-large-unknown-value.
- Subsets: $\binom{64}{20} = 19, 619, 725, 782, 651, 120$, i.e. too many.

A sieve for X so that $X^2 + 1$ is smooth

For each prime p in $S = \{5, 13, \dots, 761\}$ compute the two roots of the equation $x^2 + 1 \equiv 0 \pmod{p}$, $\pmod{p^2}$, $\pmod{p^3}$, \dots for p^e small enough.

If s is the smallest positive solution of $x^2 + 1 \equiv 0 \pmod{p^e}$ then $s + p^e$, $s + 2p^e$, $s + 3p^e$, etc. are also solutions. Further $\bar{s} := p^e - s$, $\bar{s} + p^e$, $\bar{s} + 2p^e$, $\bar{s} + 3p^e$, etc. are solutions.

Fill priority queue with events occurring at times x where $x^2 + 1 \equiv 0 \pmod{p^e}$ (for all p and e). The corresponding event is to add $\log(p)$ at bucket x . Re-schedule event at $x + p^e$.

When all events up to time x are processed then test whether the sum in bucket x equals $\log(x^2 + 1)$. If so, a candidate was found.

A sieve for X so that $X^2 + 1$ is smooth [cont.]

Optimization: Let x_0 be the candidate found most recently, and $L_0 = \log(x_0^2 + 1)$ then for the next candidate x_1 we must have $L_1 > L_0$ (where $L_1 = \log(x_1^2 + 1)$).

That is, compute a logarithm only if the current sum is strictly greater than L_0 .

In practice a logarithm is computed *exactly* with each candidate found (one in $2 \cdot 10^9$ tests).

We need about 240 CPU cycles per bucket. On average 1.5 additions happen with each bucket. Hmmmmmm ...

Overhead of priority queue may be a waste with frequent events (small p^e).

Use priority queues only for rare events ...

Use priority queues only for very rare events ...

Don't use priority queues at all ...

Just over 11 CPU cycles per bucket. Happiness!

A sieve for X so that $X^2 + 1$ is smooth [cont.]

Within 8 days all candidates $X < 10^{14}$ were found (almost 44 thousand). The last few are

```
99501239756693
99627378461772
99759820688082
99849755159917
99950583525307
99955223464153
```

One can extend the list, e.g. using the relation

$$[x] = [x+d] + [x + (x^2+1)/d] \quad \text{for all } d \text{ dividing } x^2+1$$

Almost 49 thousand values $< 2^{64}$ are obtained

```
6541485364253438682
6775933831149960693
// 1e19
12637340271431925782
15674579038778108145
15689622322199725018
//276914859479857813947 > 2^64
```

Searching for n -term relations

Discard all X where $X^2 + 1$ has more than $n - 1$ odd prime factors.
Discard small X (else we are flooded with uninteresting relations).

Setup array of words whose bit-pattern correspond to odd primes occurring in factorizations of $x^2 + 1$.

Search through all $(n - 1)$ subsets of primes using only bit-and, bit-or and tests whether zero.

Generate bit-combinations (used as masks) via

```
static inline ulong next_colex_comb(ulong x)
// Return smallest integer greater than x
// with the same number of bits set.
{
    ulong r = x & -x; // lowest set bit
    x += r;           // replace lowest block by a one left to it

    if ( 0==x ) return 0; // input was last combination

    ulong l = x & -x; // first zero beyond lowest block
    l -= r;           // lowest block (cf. lowest_block())

    while ( 0==(l&1) ) { l >>= 1; } // move block to low end of word
    return x | (l>>1); // need one bit less of low block
}
```

(cf. HAKMEM Memo 239)

Searching for n -term relations [cont.]

Whole array is accessed with each scan for with a new combination of primes. We want better memory locality (cache!).

Partition set of $N = 64$ primes into the 20 smallest and $b = 64 - 20 = 44$ “big” ones.

$$\begin{aligned} \binom{64}{q} &= \binom{b}{0} \binom{N-b}{q} + \binom{b}{1} \binom{N-b}{q-1} + \binom{b}{2} \binom{N-b}{q-2} + \dots \\ &= \sum_{j=0}^q \binom{b}{j} \binom{N-b}{q-j} \end{aligned}$$

Now select arguments in two stages. Speedup by a factor of 25.

Small primes seem to be preferred in best relations:

```
n prime set of best relation
2 {13}
3 {5, 13}
4 {5, 13, 61}
5 {5, 13, 73, 101}
6 {5, 13, 61, 89, 197}
7 {5, 13, 17, 29, 97, 433}
8 {5, 13, 29, 37, 61, 97, 337}
9 {5, 13, 17, 29, 41, 53, 97, 269}
10 {5, 13, 17, 41, 53, 73, 97, 101, 157}
11 {5, 13, 17, 29, 37, 53, 61, 89, 97, 101}
```

Omit large values of j in the sum with $n > 12$.

Best relations for $2 \leq n \leq 12$

$$+4[5] -1[239] == 1 * \text{Pi}/4$$

$$+12[18] +8[57] -5[239] == 1 * \text{Pi}/4$$

$$+44[57] +7[239] -12[682] +24[12943] == 1 * \text{Pi}/4$$

$$+88[192] +39[239] +100[515] -32[1068] -56[173932] == 1 * \text{Pi}/4$$

$$+322[577] +76[682] +139[1393] +156[12943] +132[32807] +44[1049433] == 1 * \text{Pi}/4$$

$$+1587[2852] +295[4193] +593[4246] +359[39307] \\ +481[55603] +625[211050] -708[390112] == 1 * \text{Pi}/4$$

$$+2192[5357] +2097[5507] -227[9466] +832[12943] \\ +537[34522] -2287[39307] -171[106007] -708[1115618] == 1 * \text{Pi}/4$$

$$+3286[34208] +9852[39307] +5280[41688] +7794[44179] \\ +7608[60443] +4357[275807] -1484[390112] -1882[619858] +776[976283] == 1 * \text{Pi}/4$$

$$+1106[54193] -30569[78629] -28687[88733] -13882[173932] \\ +9127[390112] -9852[478707] -24840[1131527] +4357[3014557] \\ +21852[5982670] +23407[201229582] == -1 * \text{Pi}/4 <--= ***NOTE***$$

$$+36462[390112] +135908[485298] +274509[683982] -39581[1984933] \\ +178477[2478328] -114569[3449051] -146571[18975991] +61914[22709274] \\ -69044[24208144] -89431[201229582] -43938[2189376182] == 1 * \text{Pi}/4$$

$$+893758[1049433] +655711[1264557] +310971[1706203] +503625[1984933] \\ -192064[2478328] -229138[3449051] -875929[18975991] -616556[21638297] \\ -187143[22709274] -171857[24208144] -251786[201229582] -432616[2189376182] \\ == 2 * \text{Pi}/4 <--= ***NOTE***$$

Best relations, first arguments

| n-terms | min-arg | |
|---------|-----------------|---|
| 2 | 5 | Machin (1706) <--= +4[5] -1[239] |
| 3 | 18 | Gauss (YY?) <--= +12[18] +8[57] -5[239] |
| 4 | 57 | Stormer (1896) <--= +44[57] +7[239] -12[682] +24[12943] |
| 5 | 192 | JJ (1993), prev: Stormer (1896) 172 |
| 6 | 577 | JJ (1993) |
| 7 | 2,852 | JJ (1993) |
| 8 | 5,357 | JJ (2006), prev: JJ (1993) 4,246 |
| 9 | 34,208 | JJ (2006), prev: JJ (1993) 12,943, prev: Gauss (Y?) 5,257 |
| 10 | 54,193 | JJ (2006), prev: JJ (1993) 51,387 |
| 11 | 390,112 | JJ (1993) |
| 12 | 1,049,433 | JJ (2006), prev: JJ (1993) 683,982 |
| 13 | 3,449,051 | JJ (2006), prev: JJ (1993) 1,984,933 |
| 14 | 6,826,318 | JJ (2006) |
| 15 | 20,942,043 | HCL (1997), prev: MRW (1997) 18.975,991 |
| 16 | 53,141,564 | JJ (2006) |
| 17 | 201,229,582 | JJ (2006) |
| 18 | 299,252,491 | JJ (2006) |
| 19 | 778,401,733 | JJ (2006) |
| 20 | 2,674,664,693 | JJ (2006) |
| 21 | 5,513,160,193 | JJ (2006) |
| 22 | 17,249,711,432 | JJ (2006), prev: 16,077,395,443 MRW (27-Jan-2003) |
| 23 | 58,482,499,557 | JJ (2006) |
| 24 | 102,416,588,812 | JJ (2006) |
| 25 | 160,422,360,532 | JJ (2006) |
| 26 | 392,943,720,343 | JJ (2006) |
| 27 | 970,522,492,753 | JJ (2006) |

MRW := Michael Roby Wetherfield

HCL := Hwang Chien-lih

JJ := Joerg Arndt

More...

More details are given in the online draft of my book,
online at <http://www.jjj.de/fxt/#fxtbook>

All relations are given at <http://www.jjj.de/arctan/>

The following 11-term relation is still my favorite (found 1993):

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{\pi}{4} = & 36462 \arctan\left(\frac{1}{390112}\right) + 135908 \arctan\left(\frac{1}{485298}\right) \\ & + 274509 \arctan\left(\frac{1}{683982}\right) - 39581 \arctan\left(\frac{1}{1984933}\right) \\ & + 178477 \arctan\left(\frac{1}{2478328}\right) - 114569 \arctan\left(\frac{1}{3449051}\right) \\ & - 146571 \arctan\left(\frac{1}{18975991}\right) + 61914 \arctan\left(\frac{1}{22709274}\right) \\ & - 69044 \arctan\left(\frac{1}{24208144}\right) - 89431 \arctan\left(\frac{1}{201229582}\right) \\ & - 43938 \arctan\left(\frac{1}{2189376182}\right) \end{aligned}$$

Primes: $\{5, 13, 17, 29, 37, 53, 61, 89, 97, 101\}$

Jörg Arndt <arndt@jjj.de>